



# Greater Green River Basin

Oil and Gas Geology, Past Production, and Future Development

www.wsgs.wyo.gov  
Updated January 31, 2017

## Basin geology

The Greater Green River Basin is an amalgamation of several sub-basins, including the Green River Basin, Great Divide Basin, Washakie Basin, and Sand Wash Basin. These sub-basins were formed during the Late Cretaceous to Early Eocene Laramide orogeny, with the uplift of the Moxa arch, Rock Springs uplift, Cherokee Ridge arch, and Wamsutter arch. An intermittent record of sedimentation from the Cambrian through present is preserved in the basin, with total compacted sediment fill that can be greater than 9,144 m (30,000 feet) thick.

Many oil and gas fields in the Greater Green River Basin occur in anticlinal traps (Law, 1988). These anticlinal traps are secondary folds on the larger-scale Laramide uplifts. Stratigraphic traps are rare in the basin, with the exception of the Cretaceous formations, such as Patrick Draw field, where an up-dip pinch-out of the Almond Formation traps a significant accumulation of oil (Weimer, 1965, 1966). Structural traps occur in fields such as the giant Jonah gas field, where natural gas is trapped in the Lance Formation sandstones within a fault-bounded wedge (Cluff and Cluff, 2004).

Primary oil production is from the Upper Cretaceous Frontier Formation and Mesaverde Group, followed by the Lower Cretaceous Dakota Sandstone ("Dakota Sandstone" is a formation name borrowed from neighboring states and frequently used in the hydrocarbon industry, but is unofficially recognized in Wyoming). Carbon dioxide and helium production on the LaBarge platform is from the Mississippian Madison Limestone. Hydrocarbons are also commonly produced from the Pennsylvanian and Permian Tensleep/Weber Sandstone. Nearly all formations are known to contain hydrocarbons at one location or another within the Greater Green River Basin.



Weber Sandstone showing dune-scale cross stratification, Irish Canyon, CO. Photo by R. Lynds.

Hydrocarbon source rocks vary by location within the section and within the basin. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team, 2005) determined nine regional total petroleum systems in the southwestern Wyoming province (the bulk of which includes the Greater Green River Basin of Wyoming). These nine systems imply source rocks in the Phosphoria Formation, Mowry/Aspen Shale, Hilliard/Baxter Shale, Niobrara Formation, Mesaverde Group, Lewis Shale, Lance and Fort Union formations, and the Wasatch and Green River formations. Excluding the Phosphoria (Permian), Wasatch and Green River formations

(Eocene), and Fort Union Formation (Paleocene), all other source rocks are Cretaceous—primarily Upper Cretaceous.

The source rock facies within the Phosphoria Formation are contained within the Meade Peak and Retort members. The Phosphoria was deposited in a sediment-starved, restricted basin on the western edge of the Wyoming shelf (Piper and Link, 2002). Within this complex, the Meade Peak and Retort members were formed in areas that were favorable for upwelling, high organic productivity, and preservation of organic matter (e.g., Piper and Link, 2002). Total organic content values are as high as 30 weight percent in this organic-rich source rock. High amounts of sulfur suggest original oil composition within the Phosphoria was Type-IIS kerogen, with oil generation beginning during the Late Cretaceous (Johnson, 2005).

The Cretaceous source rocks resulted from relative transgressions and regressions within a foreland basin that was progressively subsiding from the advancing Sevier orogen. These source rocks are all marine shales, some of which were deposited under anoxic conditions that preserved an unusual amount of carbonaceous matter. Of the Cretaceous shales, the Mowry/Aspen Shale has the highest total organic content (Burtner and Warner, 1984) and is primarily responsible for charging the Dakota Sandstone and Frontier Formation reservoirs throughout the Rocky Mountain region (Warner, 1982; Burtner and Warner, 1984), with additional gas locally sourced from the Frontier coals.



Cathedral Bluffs Tongue of the Wasatch Formation, eastern Washakie Basin. Photo by R. Surdam.

Eocene Wasatch and Green River source rocks are lacustrine organic-rich shales and marginal marine and terrestrial coal and carbonaceous mudstones (Roberts, 2005). Lacustrine source rocks contain Type-I and mixed Type-I and Type-III kerogen, while the coal and carbonaceous units contain Type-III kerogen (Grabowski and Bohacs, 1996; Carroll and Bohacs, 2001). These source rocks are responsible for significant oil shale deposits in the Green River Formation and biogenic gas accumulations (i.e., coalbed natural gas) in both the Wasatch and Green River formations.

## Past production

The Greater Green River Basin is a mature hydrocarbon province that has been under production since the early twentieth century. There are 300 named fields in the basin, 248 of which primarily produce natural gas, with some associated oil (Toner and others, 2016). The basin is home to an accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> greater than 100 trillion cubic feet on the crest of the Moxa arch, as well as the nation's primary helium reserve. Twelve of the top 100 highest-producing oil fields in Wyoming are in the Greater Green River Basin. More than half of the most productive gas fields in the state are also in the Greater Green River Basin.

The production of oil and gas in the Greater Green River Basin has not declined as much as it has in other Laramide basins throughout Wyoming, in part due to the discovery of the giant Jonah

gas field, and also due to the success of CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR projects in the Lost Soldier and Wertz fields, and the Monell unit in the Patrick Draw field.

### Future development

In general, drilling has decreased in the Greater Green River Basin over the past few years and production has followed this trend. Production of both oil and gas declined since 2010, down from a high of 17.5 million barrels of oil and 1.4 trillion cubic feet of gas (WOGCC, 2017). However, there are plans to produce oil and gas from many thousand additional wells in the Greater Green River Basin over the coming decades. Production from these future wells should offset the declining production in the Green River Basin.

There are presently seven large natural gas projects in various stages of the federal review process in the Greater Green River Basin (Toner and others, 2016). The largest two projects are the Continental Divide–Creston and Blacks Fork projects, operated by BP and Anadarko, respectively, plan to drill 14,450 wells in Wyoming. The primary drilling targets include the Cretaceous age sandstones and shales of the Frontier Formation, Mesaverde Group, and Lewis Shale. BP forecasts production of more than 12 trillion cubic feet of natural gas from the Continental Divide–Creston project; production forecasts are not available for the Blacks Fork project (Toner and others, 2016).

A handful of small and interesting developments have occurred in the eastern Greater Green River Basin over the past few years. Horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing has made for productive natural gas wells in the Paleocene Fort Union Formation in the Washakie Basin and the Mesaverde Group on the far eastern flank of the basin. Improved drilling and production technologies may further increase production from what were historically thought of as tight and unproductive sandstone or shale reservoirs, but are now rather typical unconventional reservoirs.

### References

- Burtner, R.L., and Warner, M.A., 1984, Hydrocarbon generation in Lower Cretaceous Mowry and Skull Creek shales of the northern Rocky Mountain areas, *in* Woodward, J., Meissner, F.F., and Clayton, J.L., eds., Symposium on hydrocarbon source rocks of the greater Rocky Mountain Region: Denver, Co., Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists, p. 449–467.
- Carroll, A.R., and Bohacs, K.M., 2001, Lake-type controls on petroleum source rock potential in nonmarine basins: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 85, no. 6, p. 1033–1053.
- Cluff, R.M., and Cluff, S.G., 2004, The origin of Jonah field, northern Green River Basin, Wyoming, *in* Robinson, J.W., and Shanley, K.W., eds., Jonah field—Case study of a giant



Drilling rig in the Greater Green River Basin. Photo by E. Campbell-Stone.

- tight0gas fluvial reservoir: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Studies in Geology 52 and Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists Guidebook, Chapter 8, p. 127–145.
- Grabowski, G.J., Jr., and Bohacs, K.M., 1996, Controls on compositions and distributions of lacustrine organic-rich rocks of the Green River Formation, Wyoming [abs.]: San Diego, Ca., American Association of Petroleum Geologists and Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Annual Meeting, v. 5, p.55.
- Johnson, R.C., 2005, Geologic assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources in the Phosphoria Total Petroleum System, Southwestern Wyoming Province, Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah, *in* U.S. Geological Survey Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team, Petroleum systems and geologic assessment of oil and gas in the Southwestern Wyoming Province, Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Digital Data Series DDS-69-D, chap. 4, 46 p.
- Law, B.E., 1988, Geologic framework and hydrocarbon plays in the Southwestern Wyoming Basins Province: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-450-F, 29 p.
- Piper, D.Z., and Link, P.K., 2002, An upwelling model for the Phosphoria sea—A Permian, ocean-margin sea in the northwest United States: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 86, no. 7, p. 1217–1235.
- Roberts, S.B., 2005, Geologic assessment of undiscovered petroleum resources in the Wasatch-Green River Composite Total Petroleum System, Southwestern Wyoming Province, Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah, *in* U.S. Geological Survey Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team, petroleum systems and geologic assessment of oil and gas in the Southwestern Wyoming Province, Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Digital Data Series DDS-69-D, chap.12, 22 p.
- Toner, R.T., Lynds, R.M., and Stafford, J.E., 2016, Interactive oil and gas map of Wyoming: Wyoming State Geological Survey, at <http://wsgs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=3f7ab99343c34bd3ac5ae6ac8c04d95a>, accessed January 31, 2017.
- Weimer, R.J., 1965, Stratigraphy and petroleum occurrences, Almond and Lewis formations (Upper Cretaceous), Wamsutter arch, Wyoming: Casper, Wy., Wyoming Geological Association, 19th annual field conference, Guidebook, p. 65–81.
- USGS Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team, 2005, Petroleum systems and geologic assessment of oil and gas in the Southwestern Wyoming Province, Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Digital Data Series DDS-69-D.
- Warner, M.A., 1982, Source and time of generation of hydrocarbons in the fossil basin, western Wyoming thrust belt, *in* Powers, R.B., ed., Geologic studies of the Cordilleran thrust belt: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists, p. 805–815.
- Weimer, R.J., 1966, Time-stratigraphic analysis and petroleum accumulations, Patrick Draw field, Sweetwater County, Wyoming: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 50, no. 10, p. 2150–2175.

WOGCC, 2017, Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission website, at <http://wogcc.state.wy.us/>, accessed January 31, 2017.